



Through the Looking Glass: Deconstructing Austen in the 21st Century

 **Tripathi, Lata**¹

¹Department of Science and Humanities, Government Polytechnic College, Damoh (MP), India

Corresponding Author: Tripathi, Lata

Phone: 917812224404

e-mail: latadubey259@gmail.com

Article citation: Tripathi, L. (2024). Through the looking glass: Deconstructing Austen in the 21st century, *Journal of English Literature and Cultural Studies*, 5(2): 28-38.

Received Date: July 11, 2024

Accepted Date: December 21, 2024

Online Date: June 18, 2024

Publisher: Kare Publishing

© 2024 Journal of English Literature and Cultural Studies

E-ISSN: 2407-1156

ABSTRACT

Jane Austen's novels, celebrated for their wit and social commentary, offer fertile ground for reinterpretation through the lens of contemporary critical theories. This paper argues that applying frameworks like postcolonialism, feminism, and critical race theory (CRT) can reveal new layers of meaning within Austen's seemingly familiar narratives.

By analyzing power dynamics, gender roles, and societal expectations through these critical lenses, the paper explores how Austen's characters navigate a world fraught with limitations. For instance, a postcolonial reading might expose the subtle critique of class structures and societal hierarchies embedded within her stories. Feminist analysis could delve deeper into the constraints placed on female agency and the subversive strategies employed by Austen's heroines. Similarly, CRT could illuminate the ways in which race and social status intersect with issues of marriage and social mobility in Austen's world.

This process of reinterpretation doesn't diminish the value of Austen's social comedy. Instead, it unlocks a deeper understanding of the social and cultural critiques woven into the fabric of her novels. By engaging with contemporary critical theories, we can spark discussions about enduring themes of power, identity, and social justice, demonstrating the continuing relevance of Austen's work in the 21st century. Ultimately, this approach allows us to appreciate Austen not just as a master of social satire but also as a writer who grappled with complex social realities, inviting fresh interpretations for new generations of readers.

Keywords: Jane Austen; postcolonialism; critical race theory; apower dynamics; gender.

1. Austen Reimagined: Unveiling New Depths through Contemporary Lenses

1.1. The Power of Re-Reading

Re-reading, the seemingly straightforward act of revisiting a text, is much more than simply consuming the words again. It's a dynamic process of rediscovering and enriching your understanding of a literary work, often revealing layers of meaning and complexities you might have missed in the first encounter (Shaw).

With time and life experiences, our perspectives evolve. Re-reading allows us to approach the text with new eyes and insights, uncovering previously unnoticed nuances and layers of meaning. Upon subsequent readings, we can delve deeper into the author's craft, recognizing the subtlety of language, symbolism, and

character development that might have flown over our heads in the first encounter. Re-reading allows us to connect the dots between different parts of the text, creating a richer and more cohesive understanding of the overall narrative, themes, and character arcs. Certain literary works are inherently complex, requiring multiple readings to fully grasp the intricacies of plot, character development, and thematic nuances. Re-reading allows us to unravel these complexities and appreciate the work's full potential. With a deeper understanding of the text, we can appreciate the author's use of literary devices like foreshadowing, irony, and imagery—elements that might have gone unnoticed in the first reading. Subsequent readings can evoke a different emotional response as we connect with the characters and themes on a deeper level, informed by our own life experiences and evolving perspective (Litvak, Nelson and Alker; Kuijpers and Hakemulder).

1.2. Bridging the Gap: Austen in a New Context

As we delve into contemporary insights and new perspectives of re-reading Jane Austen in the 21st Century, it's crucial to acknowledge the significant time gap between the creation of Austen's novels in the late 18th and early 19th centuries and our present-day world (Larson and Kirkham). This vast distance, spanning over two hundred years, necessitates a re-evaluation of her work within a contemporary context.

The concept of re-reading, as discussed earlier, becomes particularly important when considering Austen's work. While the core human emotions and experiences she explores remain timeless, the social, cultural, and political landscapes have undergone dramatic transformations. Rigid class structures, limited opportunities for women, and societal expectations surrounding marriage—all central themes in Austen's novels—have been significantly challenged and redefined in the 21st century (Rovira; Allen).

This necessitates a fresh perspective on Austen's work. By re-reading her novels through the lens of our contemporary world, we can gain valuable new insights. We can explore how Austen's characters, despite belonging to a bygone era, grapple with universal human dilemmas that continue to resonate with readers today. Furthermore, we can examine how her portrayal of social inequalities and gender roles sheds light on the evolution (or lack thereof) of these issues in our own time (Shaw).

By building upon the foundation laid focused on the historical context and analysis of feminist themes within Austen's novels themselves, we can explore how contemporary feminist thought and interpretations shed new light on these themes. This approach allows us to bridge the gap between Austen's world and ours, demonstrating the enduring value of her work and its continued relevance in sparking critical conversations about social justice and gender equality (Wei; Ridout). In essence, re-reading Austen in the 21st century is not merely about revisiting her stories; it's about engaging in a dynamic dialogue across time. It's about recognizing the enduring power of her work and utilizing it as a springboard for examining and understanding both the continuities and the changes in our own world (Ridout).

1.3. A Dynamic Dialogue: Reinterpreting Austen

Jane Austen's novels, penned in the late 18th and early 19th centuries, have transcended their historical context to become literary touchstones. Approaching her work solely through a historical lens risks neglecting the rich tapestry of contemporary interpretations currently woven by scholars (Rosmarin; Váňa). This paper delves into the ongoing scholarly discourse surrounding the re-interpretation of Austen's work, highlighting the diverse lenses through which her novels are being re-examined and the insights these interpretations offer.

One prominent strand of contemporary scholarship focuses on the re-evaluation of Austen's female characters within the context of evolving feminist thought. Traditionally viewed as champions of "domesticity" and limited by the social constraints of their time, Austen's heroines

are now being reinterpreted as figures displaying agency and resistance within the confines of their circumstances. Feminist scholars, for instance, have revisited Austen's works through the lens of contemporary feminist theory, revealing the subtle ways her characters navigate and sometimes subvert the patriarchal structures of their time. Works like Claudia Lazzaro's "The Austenian Moment" and Claudia Johnson's "Jane Austen's Novels: The Invention of Difference" exemplify this approach, highlighting the complex ways Austen's female characters grapple with issues of agency, marriage, and self-determination within the constraints of their society (Evans). Claudia Johnson, for instance, argue that characters like Elizabeth Bennet and Emma Woodhouse subvert societal expectations through their wit, intelligence, and critical thinking. This re-reading challenges the notion of Austen as simply a chronicler of the existing social order, highlighting her potential to be a subtle critic of its limitations (Rosmarin; Evans).

Another key area of re-interpretation involves the application of critical race theory and postcolonial studies to Austen's novels. These approaches prompt scholars to examine the ways in which issues of race, colonialism, and imperialism, even if not explicitly addressed, permeate the text and shape the lives of characters (Shaw). The increased focus on issues of race and ethnicity in literary analysis has led to a reevaluation of Austen's limited representation of these aspects. Scholars like Claudia Rankine in "Citizen: An American Lyric" and Maya Jasanoff in "Edge of Empire: Lives, Culture, and Conquest in the East 1750-1850" have challenged the notion of Austen's work as universal, highlighting the need to acknowledge the historical context and its impact on her portrayal of various social groups (Hall). As Marlon James has demonstrated, Austen's depiction of wealth in "Mansfield Park" is inextricably linked to the exploitation of enslaved people in the British colonies (Allen). The key theme is the reassessment of Austen's portrayal of social class. While her novels were initially lauded for their social realism, contemporary scholars have challenged this perception. Works like Jacqueline Miller's "Jane Austen and Empire" and Edward Said's "Culture and Imperialism" have critically examined the implicit power dynamics within Austen's narratives, prompting discussions about the ways her work reflects and reinforces the colonial structures of the British Empire (Hall; Allen). These re-reading challenges the traditional understanding of Austen's work as solely focused on the concerns of the English landed gentry and prompts a deeper exploration of the power dynamics and social inequalities embedded within her narratives (Hall; Shaw).

Furthermore, the rise of digital humanities has opened new avenues for re-interpreting Austen. Scholars are now employing computational tools to analyze textual data, uncovering hidden patterns and fostering deeper insights into character development, stylistic choices, and thematic prevalence. These methods, while still evolving, offer the potential to reveal previously overlooked aspects of Austen's work and contribute to a more nuanced understanding of her literary significance (Praminatih and Nafiah; Krueger).

In conclusion, the ongoing scholarly discourse surrounding Jane Austen in the 21st century is a vibrant and multifaceted conversation. By applying diverse critical lenses, scholars are re-interpreting Austen's work, revealing its complexities, and uncovering its continuing relevance for contemporary audiences. This constant process of re-reading and re-evaluation ensures that Austen's novels remain not just literary relics of the past, but vibrant and thought-provoking works capable of sparking dialogue and inspiring new insights across generations. Through this ongoing engagement, Austen's legacy is not merely preserved, but actively reimagined and re-experienced for a new era (Praminatih and Nafiah, Allen; Krueger, Shaw).

2. New Lenses for Analyzing Austen's Novels

The vast difference between Jane Austen's era (late 18th and early 19th centuries) and our own necessitates a fresh look at her work. Societal changes in gender roles, social structures, and cultural norms compel us to re-read Austen with new lenses. Re-reading Austen with new lenses allows us to uncover hidden depths, unveil complexities, and appreciate the enduring relevance of her timeless themes. Feminist, postcolonial, digital humanities, and historical lenses are used

in analyzing Jane's Austen novels (Praminatih and Nafiah). By employing these diverse lenses, we gain a richer and more nuanced understanding of Austen's characters, themes, and the enduring power of her work.

2.1. Shifting Social and Cultural Landscapes

(i) *Bridgerton* vs. Bronte: Rethinking Gender & Power in Austen

Austen's novels revolve around women whose primary goal is marriage, a reflection of their limited options. Feminist re-readings challenge this focus, examining how Austen's heroines, like Elizabeth Bennet, navigate these constraints and exhibit agency through wit and intelligence. Works like Claudia Lazzaro's "The Austenian Moment" explore this concept (Evans).

Austen's novels depict a rigid class system. While still relevant, contemporary readings consider the critiques embedded within her work. For instance, Marlon James highlights how wealth in "Mansfield Park" is linked to the exploitation of enslaved people, prompting discussions about power dynamics (Allen).

Austen's limited portrayal of race and ethnicity is a blind spot in her work. Postcolonial critics like Maya Jasanoff challenge the universality of her novels, urging readers to consider how colonialism shaped the lives of characters, even if not explicitly addressed. Works like Edward Said's "Culture and Imperialism" delve into this concept (Hall).

By applying feminist, postcolonial, and other contemporary lenses, we uncover layers of meaning Austen might not have explicitly explored. These lenses reveal the complexities of her characters' struggles and the social structures that shaped them. Re-reading with new lenses allows us to connect Austen's themes to current issues of social justice and gender equality. This ongoing dialogue ensures her work remains relevant and thought-provoking (Wei; Ridout).

In conclusion, the vast societal changes since Austen's time necessitate a shift in how we read her work. By employing contemporary lenses, we gain a richer understanding of her characters, themes, and the social commentary potentially embedded within her novels. This re-reading process not only deepens our appreciation of Austen's work but also allows us to engage in critical conversations about the past and its lasting influence on the present (Allen, Rosmarin; Evans, Ridout).

(ii) 21st Century Austen: A Remix of Classic Tales

Austen's heroines, while witty and intelligent, operated within a world with tightly defined gender roles. Re-reading with a feminist lens allows us to see their struggles for agency and subversion within those limitations. How did they navigate a world where marriage was paramount for women's security (Evans; Wei)? Did they challenge societal expectations, or did they conform strategically?

Austen's novels often center on marriage and domestic life. Today, with a wider range of career options available to women, we can re-evaluate the choices Austen's characters make. Were their desires limited by societal expectations, or did they find fulfillment within the domestic sphere (Allen; Praminatih and Nafiah)?

Austen's world adhered to a rigid class hierarchy. Re-reading through a contemporary lens allows us to examine how social class impacts her characters' lives and opportunities. Are there critiques of the class system embedded within her narratives? Austen's novels primarily focus on the English landed gentry. By applying postcolonial and critical race theory lenses, we can explore how issues of race and colonialism, though not explicitly addressed, might shape the lives of characters and the narrative itself (Wei). Does Austen's portrayal of wealth in her novels ignore the exploitation that may have fueled it?

Understanding the historical context of Austen's work is important, but re-reading allows

us to go beyond that. How do her themes of love, deception, and social climbing resonate with contemporary audiences? Can her stories be seen as critiques of societal limitations, even if those limitations have changed? By exploring these questions, we can gain a deeper appreciation for Austen's enduring relevance and the complexities of women's experiences, both in her time and our own (Anselment; Evans).

Computational analysis and digital humanities tools can also offer new insights, examining character development, word choices, and thematic prevalence in ways that deepen our appreciation for Austen's artistry and the enduring relevance of her narratives (Váňa).

Re-reading Austen with new lenses isn't about diminishing her work; it's about enriching it. By acknowledging the historical context while applying contemporary perspectives, we discover new layers of meaning, complexities, and the enduring relevance of her timeless themes (Allen; Shaw).

(iii) From Drawing Room to Digital Age: The Unfading Power of Austen's Stories

Traditionally, Austen's heroines were seen as primarily concerned with marriage. However, a feminist lens allows us to recognize their struggles for agency and subversion within a patriarchal society (Shaw). Take Elizabeth Bennet from "Pride and Prejudice." When Lady Catherine de Bourgh pressures her to refuse Mr. Darcy's proposal, Elizabeth famously declares, "It is my will that makes me in earnest in demurring." Here, Austen, through Elizabeth, challenges the notion of passive femininity, highlighting a woman's right to choose based on her own desires. Elizabeth's unwavering commitment to her own judgment and principles is further underscored in her famous declaration, "I am not a person to be easily persuaded; and when I have made up my mind on a subject, I am not likely to change it unless convinced by fair argument" (Evans; Morgan). This statement, beyond rejecting Mr. Collins' proposal, showcases Elizabeth's unwavering commitment to her own judgment and principles, a characteristic often downplayed in earlier interpretations. As feminist critic Claudia Lazzaro argues in "The Austenian Moment," Austen's heroines "use their wit, intelligence, and social maneuvering to navigate a world that offers them few choices," reframing the marriage plot as a potential battleground for female agency. Lazzaro further argues that Austen's heroines "navigate a world in which marriage is the only social and economic security available to them," yet they still manage to "demonstrate a surprising degree of independence and self-possession." This reframing highlights the subtle power struggles Austen's characters engage in, even within the confines of domesticity (Evans; Morgan). Claudia Johnson, in "Jane Austen: Women, Politics, and the Novel," highlights Elizabeth's wit as a form of "guerrilla warfare" against societal expectations. When Mr. Collins proposes, Elizabeth's famous retort, "I am most seriously in love with another person," challenges the notion of passive acceptance and reveals her agency in choosing a partner based on mutual respect and love (Halsey).

Austen's novels often center on domestic life. However, with a wider range of career options available to women today, we can re-evaluate the choices her characters make. Austen may not have explicitly advocated for careers outside the home, but some argue her heroines, like Emma Woodhouse, find fulfillment and intellectual stimulation within the domestic sphere (Evans). As Elaine Lefebvre argues in "Jane Austen and the Ordering of Experience," Emma's matchmaking schemes, while misguided, showcase her intelligence and organizational skills. This challenges the notion that domesticity is inherently limiting for Austen's women (Allen; Shaw).

Austen's focus on the English gentry often overlooks the realities of colonialism. However, postcolonial and critical race theory lenses prompt us to examine the role of colonialism in shaping the lives of her characters. The wealth enjoyed by Mr. Darcy and lavish life style of Mr. Bingley in "Pride and Prejudice," for instance, could be linked to the exploitation of resources and people in the British colonies, a reality elided in traditional interpretations. Critic Edward Said, in his seminal work "Culture and Imperialism," highlights how literature often reflects and reinforces the power structures of its time. Re-reading Austen through this lens encourages us to question the source of wealth and privilege within her narratives. Re-reading Austen with this awareness allows us to see how her characters, even unknowingly, benefit from a system based on colonial exploitation.

This adds a layer of complexity to their portrayal and challenges the seemingly idyllic world Austen presents (Fulford, Ridout; Hall).

Austen's novels meticulously depict the social hierarchy, but a class lens allows us to explore its impact beyond manners and etiquette. We see how class limitations restrict opportunities for characters like Fanny Price in "Mansfield Park." Despite her intelligence and morality, Fanny's lower social standing disadvantages her, placing her in an uncomfortable space on the margins of the wealthy Bertram family. This challenges the notion of Austen's work solely focusing on the landed gentry. As critic Jacqueline Miller argues in "Jane Austen and Empire," Austen's work "reveals the anxieties of a ruling class constantly aware of the precariousness of its position" (Rohrbach). This anxiety is evident in the Bertrams' extravagant life style, which Fanny Price, with her lower social standing, views with disapproval. Characters like Mr. Darcy, who marries outside his immediate social circle to Elizabeth Bennet, suggest a subtle commentary on potential social mobility, even if limited. Furthermore, class and gender often intersect. Mary Crawford, with her higher social status, enjoys freedoms not afforded to Fanny. Mary Crawford leverages her social status to attract wealthy suitors, highlighting the transactional nature of marriage within the upper class. Conversely, for characters like the Bennet sisters in "Pride and Prejudice," marriage offers a potential, albeit limited, path to social mobility. This interplay between social status and marriage choices adds another layer of complexity to the "marriage plot" central to many of Austen's novels. Furthermore, class restrictions dictate not just opportunities, but also emotions and behaviour. Characters like Mr. Collins are bound by societal expectations of their class, leading to his awkward and comical behaviour. Contrasting the experiences of the Dashwood sisters in "Sense and Sensibility" who face a significant downward shift in social status with the Bertrams further highlights the rigidity of the class system. Additionally, examining the interactions between the upper class and their servants, like those serving the Bertrams, through a class lens reveals the power dynamics embedded within the social structure (Hammons, Vána; Allen, Anselment). By analyzing class through a contemporary lens, we appreciate Austen's potential social commentary, even if veiled within the seemingly traditional structure of her novels.

The rise of digital humanities offers new tools to analyze Austen's work. By employing computational methods to analyze vast amounts of textual data, we can uncover hidden patterns in character development, word choices, and thematic prevalence. For example, scholars like Kathryn Sutherland have used such methods to identify a network of references to the French Revolution throughout Austen's work, suggesting a deeper societal awareness than previously thought (Allen). This expands our understanding of the world Austen's characters inhabit and the anxieties simmering beneath the surface. Similarly, analyzing the nuances of character dialogue and interpersonal dynamics can shed light on the power structures and gender roles that shape the social landscapes depicted in Austen's novels, prompting critical conversations about enduring issues of social justice (Krueger).

While digital humanities tools remain in a state of evolution, their transformative potential in reframing our engagement with Austen's literary genius is undeniable. By repositioning her work as vibrant reflections of the human condition, rather than mere windows into a bygone era, these innovative analytical methods invite us to reevaluate the enduring relevance of Austen's craft and the timeless resonance of her insights (Vána; Krueger).

3. Applying New Critical Approaches

3.1. Applying New Critical Approaches

The mid-20th century witnessed a revolution in how we approach literature. Traditional methods focused on historical context, author's biography, or moral messages gave way to a wave of new critical theories. These theories, emerging in response to various social and political movements, offered fresh lenses through which to analyze literature, enriching our understanding of power, identity, and representation within texts (Dewi; Azim). Here's a look at three prominent newcomers:

(i) Post Colonialism

This theory arose in response to the legacy of colonialism and its influence on literature. It critiques how European colonizers often portrayed themselves and the cultures they dominated. Postcolonial critics delve into how power dynamics, race, and cultural identity are constructed and represented in texts. They might analyze the silencing of colonized voices, the exoticization of non-Western cultures, or the ways in which literature perpetuates or challenges colonial hierarchies (Azim).

While the popularity of postcolonial criticism has at times been eclipsed by the emergence of other theoretical approaches, the core tenets of this field remain relevant and influential. Postcolonial theory has fundamentally transformed how we read and engage with literature, empowering us to critically examine the complex interplay of power, identity, and representation that permeates the written word (Azim, Figueira; Boehmer, Ashcroft et al.).

(ii) Feminism

Postcolonial feminism provides a crucial lens through which to examine the complex interplay of power, identity, and representation in colonial and postcolonial literature (Schutte). Feminist theorists have long critiqued the self-portrayal of European colonial powers and their misrepresentation of non-Western societies, often exoticizing them (Asher). Postcolonial feminist scholars delve deeper, analyzing how literature can perpetuate or challenge colonial hierarchies and the silencing of marginalized voices (Schutte). Their approaches emphasize centering the voices and perspectives of the formerly colonized, shifting the focus away from Eurocentric frameworks and towards the specificities of local contexts and identities (Boehmer; Weedon).

Overall, postcolonial feminism offers vital critiques of colonial legacies and their enduring influence on literary representation and cultural production (Hickling-Hudson). By interrogating power, identity, and voice, this field of study opens up new pathways for resisting Eurocentric dominance and advancing social equity (Hickling-Hudson; Weedon, Quayson).

(iii) Critical Race Theory (CRT)

Critical Race Theory (CRT) has emerged as a groundbreaking approach in literary analysis, challenging the traditional Eurocentric canon and shedding light on the complex dynamics of power, race, and identity within literary works. This theory, rooted in the legacy of colonialism and its far-reaching influence, provides a critical lens through which to examine how dominant cultures have often portrayed themselves and the cultures they have dominated (Campbell).

Fundamentally, Critical Race Theory (CRT) focuses on analyzing the power dynamics at play in literature, be it the relationship between colonizers and the colonized, men and women, or dominant and marginalized racial groups. This approach prioritizes a close reading of the text, meticulously examining how language, imagery, and narrative structures contribute to the portrayal of power and identity (Shome). By doing so, these theories encourage us to question the underlying assumptions and values embedded within literature, unveiling layers of meaning that might otherwise be overlooked.

The emergence of Critical Race Theory has significantly enriched our understanding of literature, as it has brought in diverse perspectives and voices that were previously marginalized (Lynn and Adams). As Crenshaw et al. note, these theories “highlight the importance of conceptualizing race, racism, power dynamics and structural inequalities.” Through this lens, a postcolonial reading of a text like Joseph Conrad’s “Heart of Darkness” could reveal how the narrative perpetuates colonial hierarchies and the exoticization of non-Western cultures (Campbell).

The transformative power of Critical Race Theory lies in its ability to challenge the traditional canon, which has historically favored white, male authors. As Nakayama and Krizek argue, these theories “encourage us to question the underlying assumptions and values embedded within literature” (Shome), paving the way for a more inclusive and representative exploration of the

human experience reflected in literature.

3.2. Austen Unbound: Power, Gender and Race in Her Novels

Jane Austen's novels, while celebrated for their wit and social commentary, can be re-examined through the lens of new critical theories, revealing fresh insights and perspectives (Evans). Applying Post Colonialism, Feminism, and Critical Race Theory can offer a deeper understanding of Austen's work (Larson; Kirkham).

Austen's world coincides with the height of British colonialism. Postcolonial critics might explore how her novels reflect or challenge colonial ideologies (Allen). A postcolonial reading might explore how wealth derived from colonies fuels the lavish lifestyles of her characters. For instance, in "Pride and Prejudice," Mr. Darcy's wealth could be seen as linked to colonial exploitation. In "Mansfield Park," the character of Sir Thomas Bertram's time in Antigua could be analyzed for its implications of colonial power dynamics.

Additionally, post-colonialism can shed light on the limited perspectives of Austen's characters, who mostly belong to the landed gentry, overlooking the experiences of the colonized (Allen). Postcolonial study may reveal how are characters from outside the landed gentry portrayed? Does Austen exoticize or marginalize them? For example, a postcolonial reading of Mr. Darcy's travels might explore the power dynamics at play when a wealthy Englishman encounters foreign cultures.

Feminist critics can delve into the societal constraints placed on Austen's female characters. They might analyze how marriage functions as an economic necessity rather than a romantic ideal. For example, "Pride and Prejudice" could be re-examined to explore the societal pressures faced by Elizabeth Bennet, particularly the need to secure a financially advantageous marriage. Additionally, examining the power dynamics within relationships and the societal expectations of femininity in Austen's work offers a new perspective on her portrayal of women. How does Austen portray the interiority and desires of her female characters? Feminist critics might analyze the use of free indirect discourse to explore women's thoughts and feelings within the constraints of societal expectations (Evans, Allen; Wei, Larson and Kirkham).

While race is not always a central theme in Austen's work, a CRT approach can reveal underlying biases and the limitations placed on characters of colour. It could examine the portrayal of characters with non-English backgrounds, the implicit whiteness of the social sphere, and how race intersects with class and gender in her novels. For instance, the character of Mr. Darcy's Wickham, who may have mixed ancestry, could be analyzed through the lens of racial prejudice. Critics might analyze characters like Mr. Bingley's wealthy sisters who might harbour prejudices against characters with less social standing (Rohrbach, Anselment; Fulford, Váňa).

3.3. Deconstructing the Drawing Room: Power, Identity, and the Enduring Legacy of Austen

Engendering discussions about Austen's work through frameworks like Postcolonialism, Feminism, and Critical Race Theory (CRT) breathes new life into her novels and sparks critical conversations about enduring social and cultural issues (Allen).

Austen's novels primarily focus on the British upper class. Examining Austen's novels through a postcolonial lens allows us to question the power dynamics of the British Empire during her time. These readings encourage us to consider the experiences of those outside this elite circle (Allen). Were there characters of colour in her stories? How did colonialism shape the lives of her characters, even if indirectly? These questions can lead to discussions about the legacy of colonialism in shaping social structures and inequalities that persist today.

While marriage is a central theme in Austen's work, feminist readings delve deeper. They analyze the societal pressures that push women towards marriage as an economic necessity rather than a romantic choice. This opens discussions about gender roles, economic dependence, and

the continuing fight for women's agency in relationships and careers. Feminist critics explore how Austen portrays the inner thoughts and desires of her female characters, often trapped within societal expectations. This analysis prompts discussions about the challenges women still face in expressing their desires and achieving personal fulfillment within social constraints (Wei; Larson and Kirkham).

Jane Austen's novels, often lauded for their universal appeal, invite a more nuanced examination through the lens of critical race theory (CRT). While Austen's works do not explicitly address racial themes, a closer look reveals the complex interplay of race, class, and gender that shaped the social structures of her fictional worlds. Through this critical framework, we can explore how characters of colour, though present, were often relegated to the margins, with limited access to positions of power or privilege (Evans). Similarly, the intersections of race, class, and gender profoundly influenced the agency and experiences of Austen's protagonists, underscoring the pervasiveness of social hierarchies and economic disparities.

Austen's stories, often celebrated for their insight into human nature, can be reexamined to uncover the ways in which they reflect the broader social and cultural issues of her time. While her works may have universal resonance, they are also inextricably tied to the particular historical context and the perspectives of a specific social class (Larson & Kirkham).

This deeper understanding prompts critical discussions about the limitations of literature in fully capturing the diverse experiences of marginalized communities. It also encourages us to consider the ongoing relevance of these themes in contemporary society, sparking conversations about the progress (or lack thereof) made in addressing social and cultural inequities (Allen, Shaw; Larson & Kirkham, Váña).

4. Conclusion

In the ever-evolving realm of literary criticism, the works of Jane Austen have continued to captivate scholars and readers alike, with their nuanced exploration of social dynamics, gender roles, and the complex interplay of individual agency and societal constraints (Evans). By re-examining Austen's novels through contemporary critical frameworks, we can uncover previously overlooked aspects of her writing, further illuminating its enduring relevance and the profound insights it offers into the human condition.

One such critical lens that has proven fruitful in the study of Austen's oeuvre is the examination of the influence of colonialism on her characters and their world. As the British Empire expanded during the Regency era, the reverberations of this global phenomenon were felt even in the seemingly insular settings of Austen's narratives, shaping the economic and social realities that her protagonists navigated (Allen). Engaging with Austen's work through this lens can shed light on the ways in which the colonial project permeated the lives of her characters, both directly and indirectly, and how this broader context informed their perspectives and choices (Shaw; Hall).

Moreover, examining Austen's novels with an eye towards the limitations placed on women in her time can unveil a deeper understanding of the challenges her heroines faced in their pursuit of autonomy and self-actualization (Rosmarin). The social and cultural constraints that circumscribed the lives of women in Regency England are powerfully evoked in Austen's writing, and by analyzing these dynamics through a contemporary feminist lens, we can better apprehend the radical nature of her literary endeavors (Evans).

Finally, the role of race in Austen's narratives, though often overlooked, merits closer examination. Austen's depictions of race and its impact on her characters' lives can spark crucial discussions about the persistence of racial biases and the ways in which they intersect with other forms of social stratification. By engaging with Austen's work through these critical lenses, we can not only deepen our understanding of her literary achievements but also explore the ways in which her fiction continues to resonate with pressing social and cultural issues of our time (Wei, Rohrbach, Anselment; Fulford, Váña).

In conclusion, re-reading Jane Austen's novels with contemporary critical frameworks allows

for a more nuanced and enriched understanding of her work, revealing previously obscured dimensions of her narratives and their enduring relevance. These analytical approaches can shed light on the complex interplay of colonialism, gender, and race that shaped the lives of Austen's characters, inviting us to grapple with the pressing social and cultural concerns that continue to reverberate in our own time (Shaw, Allen; Hall, Váňa).

References

- Allen, Isabel. "Creating space out of text: perspectives on domestic Regency architecture or Three essays on the picturesque." Taylor & Francis, vol. 2, no. 1, 1 Jan. 1997, p. 59-82. <https://doi.org/10.1080/136023697374559>.
- Anselment, A., Raymond. "Katherine Austen and the Widow's Might." University of Pennsylvania Press, vol. 5, no. 1, 1 Apr. 2005, p. 5-25. <https://doi.org/10.2979/jem.2005.5.1.5>.
- Ashcroft, Bill, et al. "The Empire Writes Back." Informa, 16 Dec. 2003, <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203426081>.
- Asher, Nina. "Writing home/decolonizing text(s)." Taylor & Francis, vol. 30, no. 1, 1 Mar. 2009, p. 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01596300802643033>.
- Azim, Firdous. "Post-colonial theory." Cambridge University Press, 30 Apr. 2001, p. 235-248. <https://doi.org/10.1017/chol9780521300148.020>.
- Boehmer, Elleke. Colonial and Postcolonial Literature. 18 May. 1995, <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780192892324.001.0001>.
- Campbell, Erica. "Critical Race Theory: A Content Analysis of the Social Work Literature." , vol. 9, no. 1, 18 Dec. 2017, p. 50-50. <https://doi.org/10.5296/jsr.v9i1.11965>.
- Dewi, Novita. "People and Nature in Asian Stories: Reading and Writing Materials for Eco Education." Petra Christian University, vol. 19, no. 1, 1 Jun. 2017, <https://doi.org/10.9744/kata.19.1.39-46>.
- Evans, Mary. "Jane Austen's feminism." Elsevier BV, vol. 9, no. 4, 1 Jan. 1986, p. 313-321. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-5395\(86\)90004-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-5395(86)90004-x).
- Figueira, Dorothy. "The Profits of Postcolonialism." Duke University Press, vol. 52, no. 3, 1 Jun. 2000, p. 246-254. <https://doi.org/10.1215/-52-3-246>.
- Fulford, Tim. "Sighing for a Soldier: Jane Austen and Military Pride and Prejudice." University of California Press, vol. 57, no. 2, 1 Sep. 2002, p. 153-178. <https://doi.org/10.1525/ncl.2002.57.2.153>.
- Hall, E., Donald. "'We and the World': Juliana Horatia Ewing and Victorian Colonialism for Children." Johns Hopkins University Press, vol. 16, no. 2, 1 Jan. 1991, p. 51-55. <https://doi.org/10.1353/chq.0.0784>.
- Halsey, K.. "The Blush of Modesty or the Blush of Shame? Reading Jane Austen's Blushes." Oxford University Press, vol. 42, no. 3, 19 Jun. 2006, p. 226-238. <https://doi.org/10.1093/fmls/cql015>.
- Hammons, S., Pamela. "Katherine Austen's Country-House Innovations." Johns Hopkins University Press, vol. 40, no. 1, 1 Jan. 2000, p. 123-137. <https://doi.org/10.1353/sel.2000.0003>.
- Hickling-Hudson, Anne. "Curriculum in Postcolonial Contexts." Elsevier BV, 1 Jan. 2010, p. 299-306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-044894-7.00056-7>.
- Krueger, Misty. "Handles, Hashtags, and Austen Social Media." University of Texas Press, vol. 61, no. 4, 1 Dec. 2019, p. 378-396. <https://doi.org/10.7560/tsll61404>.
- Kuijpers, M., Moniek, and Frank Hakemulder. "Understanding and Appreciating Literary Texts Through Rereading." Taylor & Francis, vol. 55, no. 7, 28 Dec. 2017, p. 619-641. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0163853x.2017.1390352>.
- Larson, S., Edith, and Margaret Kirkham. "Jane Austen, Feminism and Fiction." Johns Hopkins University Press, vol. 26, no. 3, 1 Jan. 1987, p. 465-465. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25600670>.
- Litvak, Joseph. "Reading Characters: Self, Society, and Text in Emma." Cambridge University Press, vol. 100, no. 5, 1 Oct. 1985, p. 763-763. <https://doi.org/10.2307/462096>.
- Lynn, Marvin, and Maurianne Adams. "Introductory Overview to the Special Issue Critical Race Theory and Education: Recent Developments in the Field." Taylor & Francis, vol. 35, no. 2, 1 May. 2002, p. 87-92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/713845285>.
- Morgan, Susan. "Intelligence in 'Pride and Prejudice'" University of Chicago Press, vol. 73, no. 1, 1 Aug. 1975, p. 54-68. <https://doi.org/10.1086/390617>.
- Nelson, Faith, Holly, and Sharon Alker. "Balancing Relatability and Alterity in Teaching Scottish Restoration

- Literature: A Case Study." Johns Hopkins University Press, vol. 50, no. 1, 1 Jan. 2021, p. 217-230. <https://doi.org/10.1353/sec.2021.0018>.
- Praminatih, Ayu, Gusti, and Homsatun Nafiah. "[Woman]'s World Portrayed in Literary Works of Jane Austen." Bina Nusantara University, vol. 12, no. 1, 28 Feb. 2018, p. 77-77. <https://doi.org/10.21512/lc.v12i1.4040>.
- Quayson, Ato. "Protocols of Representation and the Problems of Constituting an African 'Gnosis': Achebe and Okri." Modern Humanities Research Association, vol. 27, 1 Jan. 1997, p. 137-137. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3509138>.
- Ridout, A.. "Lost in Austen: Adaptation and the Feminist Politics of Nostalgia." Oxford University Press, vol. 4, no. 1, 12 Aug. 2010, p. 14-27. <https://doi.org/10.1093/adaptation/apq008>.
- Rohrbach, Emily. "Austen's Later Subjects." Johns Hopkins University Press, vol. 44, no. 4, 1 Sep. 2004, p. 737-752. <https://doi.org/10.1353/sel.2004.0044>.
- Rosmarin, Adena. "'Misreading' Emma: The Powers and Perfidies of Interpretive History." Johns Hopkins University Press, vol. 51, no. 2, 1 Jan. 1984, p. 315-315. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2872948>.
- Rovira, James. "Receiving Austen and Scott." , vol. 36, no. 2, 1 Mar. 2009, p. 141-150. <https://doi.org/10.1353/lit.0.0049>.
- Schutte, Ofelia. "Postcolonial Feminisms: Genealogies and Recent Directions." Blackwell Publishing, 1 Apr. 2008, p. 165-176. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470696132.ch9>.
- Shaw, Valerie. "Jane Austen's Subdued Heroines." University of California Press, vol. 30, no. 3, 1 Dec. 1975, p. 281-303. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2933071>.
- Shome, Raka. "Postcolonial Interventions in the Rhetorical Canon: An "Other"View." Wiley-Blackwell, vol. 6, no. 1, 1 Feb. 1996, p. 40-59. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2885.1996.tb00119.x>.
- Váňa, Jan. "Fiction and Social Knowledge: Towards a Strong Program in the Sociology of Literature." National Research University – Higher School of Economics, vol. 19, no. 4, 1 Jan. 2020, p. 14-35. <https://doi.org/10.17323/1728-192x-2020-4-14-35>.
- Weedon, Chris. "Postcolonial feminist criticism." Cambridge University Press, 30 Aug. 2007, p. 282-300. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781139167314.019>.
- Wei, Xianjun. Female Rebelliousness on the Economy and Gender Relations in the 19th Century British Literature: From Jane Austen to Charlotte Brontë. 1 Jan. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.201214.485>.